UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,120	30,120 10/11/2005 Mark Alexander Dodd		GPA1.PAU.47	2645
Myers Dawes	7590 08/21/200	EXAMINER		
Andras & Sherr	nan	LE, HUYEN D		
11th Floor 19900 MacArth	ur Boulevard	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Irvine, CA 926	12	2615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/530,120		DODD, MARK ALEXANDER			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			HUYEN D. LE		2615			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE INSIDE	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS ((a). In no event, ho apply and will expi ause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 Oct	ober 2005.					
· · ·	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to th	e merits is		
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requi	rement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			biected to by the I	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any obje		-	-				
						FR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/530,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catherwood, Sr. (U.S. patent 4,594,082).

Regarding claim 1-3, 9, 10 and 11, Catherwood teaches a method and apparatus of an enclosure (10, 12) which constitutes a humidity region and comprises a loudspeaker enclosure (figure 1) as claimed. Cartherwood further teaches the enclosure comprising passage means (42, 48, 64) to enable the outward movement of gases therefrom. As shown in figures 1, 3, 7, 10, 13, the passage means comprises a tube having a bore as claimed.

Catherwood does not specifically disclose a heat source within the enclosure. However, Catherwood does teach that the dehydrating material (44) can be dried out under heat (col. 4, lines 21-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any heat source in the enclosure (10, 12) for better drying out the dehydrating material (44) and better reducing the moisture in the enclosure of the electronic instruments.

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Catherwood does not specifically teach the heat source comprising the electrical resistor. However, it is very well known in the art to provide an electrical resistor for a heat source.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any heat source for the Catherwood device such as an electrical resistor for better providing a heat source to dry out the dehydrating material and reduce the moisture in the enclosure.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 12, 14, 15 and 18, Catherwood does not specifically teach that the heat source is cycled operative as claimed. However, Catherwood does teach that the dehydrating material or the desiccant can come with moisture indicators (col. 4, lines 23-36); it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the heat source of Catherwood to be operative in any cycle time such as at intervals of hours for better controlling the moisture in the enclosure.

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Catherwood teaches a desiccant as claimed (col. 4, lines 21-36).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Catherwood teaches an absorbent material (44) as claimed (col. 4, lines 21-40).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Goodman (U.S. patent 5,446,249) teaches a dry acoustic system preventing condensation.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7502. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,120 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SUHAN NI can be reached on (571) 272-7505. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HUYEN D. LE/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2615

HL

August 15, 2008